

ESTIMATING EMISSIONS FROM SHIPS/MARINE VESSELS

Summary

Base Year: 2008

Because of lack of recent, representative and reliable activity datasets, atmospheric emissions from shipping and marine vessels for the 2008 base year were not estimated; they were simply assumed to be the same as those in the LAEI 2006 (LAEI 2004). In the LAEI 2004, improved and up-to-date information on marine vessel characteristics and activities within the LAEI area were obtained from the Lloyd's Maritime Intelligence Unit (LMIU) and the Port of London Authority (PLA), including the number and types of vessels, ship size, destination, approximate time of arrival and departure, distance travelled, engine type and number for the calendar year 2004. Improved emission factors and the up-to-date marine vessel activity datasets were used to estimate emissions in the LAEI 2006.

Projection Years: 2011 and 2015

Because of lack of recent, representative and reliable activity datasets for the 2008 base year, projections of atmospheric emissions from shipping and marine vessels to 2011 and 2015 were not undertaken; instead projections of atmospheric emissions from shipping and marine vessels to 2011 and 2015 were basically assumed to be the same as those in the LAEI 2006 (using 2010 projection).

2008 emission estimation methodology: Same as the LAEI 2006 methodology

Below is a synopsis of the methodological approach used in the LAEI 2006 to quantify vessel emissions:

1. Delineation of the geographical scope of the study area and the composition of a digital representation of the River Thames, the Port of London and its terminal and ports.
2. Identification and classification of vessels and their characterisation (e.g., the number and types of vessels, ship size, destination, approximate time of arrival and departure, distance travelled, engine type and number) from the databases supplied by both the LMIU and the PLA.
3. Analysis of the duration various vessel categories spend navigating "at sea" and operating "in port" from the average speeds of the various vessel categories, vessel activity databases and the estimated distances (km) travelled along the Thames.
4. Analysis and designation of average vessel power to each vessel category and the adjustment of the average vessel power (kW) by load factors for "at sea" and "in port" operations to obtain rated average vessel power (kW) for each vessel category.

5. Calculation of the average energy consumption (kWh) by vessel type from the rated average vessel power (kW) and duration for "at sea" and "in port" modes.
6. Estimation of the amount of pollutant (expressed as tonnes) emitted by a vessel type in each mode as a function of the average energy consumption (kWh) by vessel category in each mode, an emission factor (g/kWh), the number of vessel trips to ports and a unit conversion factor (Equation 1).

Equation 1

$$E_k = VC_{port} * EF_k * EC_{avg,k} * 10^{-6}$$

Where:

E_k = Emissions from vessel category k (tonnes)

VC_{port} = Number of vessel calls at ports

EF_k = Emission factor for vessel category k (g/kWh)

$EC_{avg,k}$ = Average energy consumption for vessel category k (kWh)

10^{-6} = Unit conversion factor, grammes to tonne

7. Spatial representation and mapping of the "at sea" emissions as channel segment (lines) and "in port" emissions as points along the Thames in a GIS layer. Apportionment of the "in port" emissions and "at sea" emissions (using the linear referencing functionality in ArcGIS) to the appropriate ports and the navigational route on the Thames respectively.
8. Overlaying of the appropriate ports and the navigational route with a digital layer of the generic 1km² grid cells. Apportionment of the combined "at sea" and "in port" emissions to the generic 1km² grid cells of the LAEI area were they intersected using the "proportion summed" algorithm in GIS.
9. Presentation of the emission results at the 1km² resolution.

For the purpose of estimating emissions from marine vessels in this study, only the 33 ports and terminals (see Table 1) on the Thames and within the LAEI area were considered - starting from the breakwater at the M25 Motorway eastern boundary and then 43 km westward along the River Thames towards Teddington.

Table 1. Ports and terminal within the LAEI area

| Ports | Approximate distance (km) from the LAEI boundary* |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Thames Europort | 0.5 |
| Vopak Terminal London | 0.5 |
| Thurrock Marine Jetty/Lafarge Jetty | 0.7 |
| Civil & Marine/Purfleet Aggregates | 1.4 |
| Jurgens | 1.9 |
| Purfleet Thames Terminal | 2.2 |

| | |
|--------------------------|------|
| European Metal Recycling | 7 |
| British Gypsum | 7.5 |
| ADM Erith Ltd | 8.2 |
| Pioneer | 8.5 |
| Mulberry Wharf | 9.2 |
| Fords | 10.8 |
| Hanson Aggregates | 11.3 |
| No.1 Western Extension | 12.1 |
| TDG European Chemicals | 12.4 |
| RMC Dagenham | 12.7 |
| Docklands Wharf | 14.5 |
| Kierbeck | 16 |
| Welbeck | 16.3 |
| Pinns | 16.4 |
| Thames Refinery | 19.3 |
| Tay Wharf | 19.6 |
| Riverside Wharf | 20.3 |
| Murphy's Wharf | 21.8 |
| Angersteins | 22.4 |
| Thames Wharf | 22.8 |
| Brunswick Wharf | 23 |
| Delta | 24.1 |
| Victoria Deep | 24.9 |
| Brewery | 26.6 |
| RMC Vauxhall | 38.6 |
| Cringle Wharf | 39.4 |
| RMC Fulham | 42.7 |

Source: GLA 2005

* Distance along the River Thames, starting from the breakwater at the M25 Motorway eastern boundary and then westward along the River Thames.

Vessel characteristics and movement

The primary source of information in terms of vessel characteristics and movements was a comprehensive database¹ maintained by LMIU. The database maintains information about ship details, including owner, operator, ship name, ship type, registry number, cargo handling equipment, flag of registry and, significantly, ship engine details such as maximum horsepower and sometimes number of auxiliary engines. The LMIU provided a spreadsheet of vessel characteristics and vessel movements to and from the Port of London for the full calendar year 2004. Due to the large number of vessel types and vessel movements to and from the Port of London on an annual basis and the complexities of data analysis required for this project, all vessels were classified and limited to the following categories:

- Liquified Gas Carrier - *Liquefied natural gas/Liquified petroleum gas*

¹ This database is the only commercial database of all vessel movements worldwide with up-to-date data resolved to a daily timeframe and over 3 million vessel movements are processed annually.

- Specialised Cargo - *Chemical tank, chemical/oil carrier*
- Tanker - *Acid tanker, asphalt tanker, bunkering tanker, crude oil tanker, edible oil tanker, fruit juice tanker, fish oil tanker, floating production, floating storage, molasses tanker, naval auxiliary, product tanker, non specific tanker, wine tank, water tanker*
- Bulk Carrier - *Bulk, cement, aggregates, ore, wood-chip*
- General Cargo - *Cargo/training, general cargo, barge carrier, container/unitised carrier*
- Pallet Carrier - *Container, barge carrier, vehicles*
- Ro-Ro Cargo - *Ro/Ro, container Ro/Ro, Passenger Ro/Ro*
- Tug/Dredger - *Tugs/dredgers*

Table 2. Vessel characteristics: average speed, power and tonnage

| Type of Vessel | Average Speed km/h | Average Vessel Power kW | Average Vessel Tonnage tonnes |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Bulk Carrier | 26 | 5,464 | 15,125 |
| Pallet Carrier | 30 | 7,803 | 7,943 |
| General Cargo | 20 | 1,362 | 2,709 |
| Specialised Cargo | 24 | 1,982 | 2,679 |
| Tug/Dredger | 22 | 2,544 | 2,395 |
| Liquified Gas Carrier | 24 | 1,982 | 4,755 |
| Tanker | 22 | 3,116 | 10,135 |
| Ro/Ro | 20 | 5,411 | 12,973 |

Source: LMIU, 2004

The Port of London Authority (PLA) provided information on the number of vessels calling at each port within the Port of London in the LAEI area for the year 2004.

Table 3. Vessel movements: number and types of vessels and vessel calls in 2004

| Ports of Arrival and Departure | Number of Vessels | Type of Vessel | Number of Vessel Calls |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| ADM Erith Ltd | 99 | General Cargo | 163 |
| ADM Erith Ltd | 16 | Tanker | 32 |
| Angersteins | 5 | Dredger | 93 |
| Brewery | 6 | General Cargo | 249 |
| British Gypsum | 9 | General Cargo | 59 |
| Brunswick Wharf | 9 | Tanker | 72 |
| Civil & Marine/Purfleet Aggregates | 11 | Dredger | 57 |
| Civil & Marine/Purfleet Aggregates | 4 | General Cargo | 108 |
| Cringle Wharf | 7 | General Cargo | 13 |
| Cringle Wharf | 1 | Tug | 1 |

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|------------------------|-------|
| Delta | 6 | Dredger | 70 |
| Delta | 1 | Tug | 1 |
| Docklands Wharf | 30 | General Cargo | 49 |
| Docklands Wharf | 1 | Specialised Cargo | 2 |
| European Metal Recycling | 9 | General Cargo | 9 |
| European Metal Recycling | 1 | Ro/Ro | 1 |
| Fords | 10 | Ro/Ro | 693 |
| Fords | 1 | Tug | 1 |
| Hanson Aggregates | 4 | Dredger | 184 |
| Jurgens | 1 | Specialised Vessels | 1 |
| Jurgens | 23 | Tanker | 129 |
| Kierbeck | 28 | General Cargo | 32 |
| Mulberry Wharf | 18 | General Cargo | 24 |
| Murphy's Wharf | 9 | Dredger | 304 |
| Murphy's Wharf | 5 | General Cargo | 125 |
| Murphy's Wharf | 1 | Specialised Cargo | 11 |
| Murphy's Wharf | 1 | Tanker | 1 |
| No.1 Western Extension | 44 | General Cargo | 124 |
| Pinns | 39 | General Cargo | 52 |
| Pioneer | 4 | Dredger | 57 |
| Purfleet Thames Terminal | 23 | Ro/Ro | 1,369 |
| Riverside Wharf | 19 | General Cargo | 50 |
| RMC Dagenham | 1 | Dredger | 1 |
| RMC Dagenham | 87 | General Cargo | 193 |
| RMC Dagenham | 1 | Specialised Cargo | 11 |
| RMC Fulham | 3 | General Cargo | 192 |
| RMC Vauxhall | 6 | General Cargo | 306 |
| Tay Wharf | 1 | General Cargo | 1 |
| TDG European Chemicals | 77 | Tanker | 125 |
| Thames Europort | 11 | Ro/Ro | 1,681 |
| Thames Europort | 1 | Tug | 1 |
| Thames Refinery | 16 | Bulk Carrier | 16 |
| Thames Refinery | 15 | General Cargo | 20 |
| Thames Refinery | 1 | Pallet Carrier | 25 |
| Thames Wharf | 16 | General Cargo | 19 |
| Thurrock Marine Jetty/Lafarge Jetty | 2 | Bulk Carrier | 2 |
| Thurrock Marine Jetty/Lafarge Jetty | 9 | Dredger | 80 |
| Thurrock Marine Jetty/Lafarge Jetty | 10 | General Cargo | 15 |
| Victoria Deep | 1 | Dredger | 1 |
| Victoria Deep | 23 | General Cargo | 37 |
| Victoria Deep | 1 | Specialised Cargo | 1 |
| Vopak Terminal London | 2 | Liquified Gas Carriers | 80 |
| Vopak Terminal London | 1 | Tanker | 4 |
| Welbeck | 21 | General Cargo | 94 |

Source: Port of London Authority, 2004

The vessel characteristics and movement information from both the LMIU and the PLA were combined with the following information to estimate emissions from ships:

- Information on the times that each type of vessel spent "at sea" and "in port";
- A GIS representation of the ports and terminals within the Port of London; and
- Emission factors for the air pollutants: oxides of nitrogen (NO_x), sulphur dioxide (SO₂), hydrocarbons (HC), carbon dioxide (CO₂) and particulate matters (PM₁₀) from the Entec Report (Entec UK Ltd, 2000).

Durations of vessel operations "in port" and "at sea"

The LMIU and PLA databases recorded only the dates when vessels arrived at and departed from the Port of London. Undoubtedly, actual arrival and departure times to and from each port would have assisted greatly in estimating durations of "in port" activities with confidence. "In port" activities include time spent manoeuvring, hotelling, loading, and unloading. Hotelling denotes the time a vessel spends in port that is neither loading nor unloading time, at berth and consuming minimum power. Manoeuvring is associated with arrival at and departure from a port, i.e. when a ship decreases main engine load at the end of a period "at sea", up to the point when the ship is stationary in port and vice versa.

In the absence of reliable arrival and departure times to and from each port in the Port of London, the average time (in hours) spent "in port" per call by each vessel type was estimated from the LMIU and PLA ship activity datasets and augmented by information gained through personal conversations with port operators. For example, a typical tanker can take 24 to 40 hours to unload, with the vessel using its own pumps to unload liquid material. Due to various conditions on vessel movements in the Port of London, after loading, a vessel may stay an additional 12-18 hours at berth. Discharge rates for product ships vary considerably with the loading rate dependent on the diameter of the pipeline, the distance to the tank, pump used, and capacity.

The average time (in hours) spent "in port" per call by each vessel type was estimated using Equation 2:

| |
|--|
| Equation 2 |
| $AvgT_{in-port,vc,j} = \frac{T_{PL}}{VC_{port,j}}$ |

Where:

$AvgT_{in-port,vc,j}$ = Average time "in port" per vessel call vc by vessel type j ,
(hours)
 T_{PL} = Total time in Port of London, (hours)
 $VC_{port,j}$ = Number of vessel call vc to Port of London by vessel type j

Table 4. Estimates of durations of vessel "in port" in Port of London

| Type of Vessel | Vessel Count | Number of Vessel Calls | Time in Port (Days) | Time in Port (h) | Average Time "in port" (h) |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| Bulk Carrier | 85 | 204 | 480 | 11,520 | 56.5 |
| Pallet Carrier | 6 | 6 | 6 | 144 | 24.0 |
| General Cargo | 469 | 1,971 | 3,034 | 72,816 | 36.9 |
| Specialised Cargo | 19 | 122 | 137 | 3,288 | 27.0 |
| Tug/Dredger | 52 | 1,271 | 1,455 | 34,920 | 27.5 |
| Liquefied Gas Carrier | 7 | 31 | 31 | 744 | 24.0 |
| Tanker | 75 | 563 | 714 | 17,136 | 30.4 |
| Ro/Ro | 39 | 3,505 | 3,557 | 85,368 | 24.4 |

Source: LMIU 2004

The average travelling time (in hours) "at sea" by each vessel type was estimated using Equation 3.

| |
|---|
| <p>Equation 3</p> $AvgT_{at-sea,vc,j} = \frac{D_{PL}}{AvgS_{PL,j}}$ |
|---|

Where:

$AvgT_{at-sea,vc,j}$ = Average time "at sea" per vessel call vc by vessel type j ,
(hours)

D_{PL} = Distance from breakwater to a port in the Port of London, (km)

$AvgS_{PL,j}$ = Average speed of vessel type j in the Port of London, (km/hour)

Table 5. Durations (in hours) of vessel "at sea" in Port of London

| Port | Distance km | Vessel Type | Average Speed km/h | Average Time "at sea" hours |
|------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Thames Europort | 0.5 | Ro/Ro | 30 | 0.02 |
| Thames Europort | 0.5 | Tug | 22 | 0.02 |
| Vopak Terminal London | 0.5 | Liquified Gas Carriers | 24 | 0.02 |
| Vopak Terminal London | 0.5 | Tanker | 22 | 0.02 |
| Thurrock Marine/Lafarge Jetty | 0.7 | Bulk Carrier | 26 | 0.03 |
| Thurrock Marine/Lafarge Jetty | 0.7 | Dredger | 22 | 0.03 |
| Thurrock Marine/Lafarge Jetty | 0.7 | General Cargo | 20 | 0.04 |
| Civil & Marine/Purfleet Aggregates | 1.4 | Dredger | 22 | 0.06 |
| Civil & Marine/Purfleet Aggregates | 1.4 | General Cargo | 20 | 0.07 |
| Jurgens | 1.9 | Specialised Vessels | 24 | 0.08 |
| Jurgens | 1.9 | Tanker | 22 | 0.09 |
| Purfleet Thames Terminal | 2.2 | Ro/Ro | 30 | 0.07 |
| European Metal Recycling | 7 | General Cargo | 20 | 0.35 |
| European Metal Recycling | 7 | Ro/Ro | 30 | 0.23 |
| British Gypsum | 7.5 | General Cargo | 20 | 0.38 |

| | | | | |
|------------------------|------|-------------------|----|------|
| ADM Erith Ltd | 8.2 | General Cargo | 20 | 0.41 |
| ADM Erith Ltd | 8.2 | Tanker | 22 | 0.37 |
| Pioneer | 8.5 | Dredger | 22 | 0.39 |
| Mulberry Wharf | 9.2 | General Cargo | 20 | 0.46 |
| Fords | 10.8 | Ro/Ro | 30 | 0.36 |
| Fords | 10.8 | Tug | 22 | 0.49 |
| Hanson Aggregates | 11.3 | Dredger | 22 | 0.51 |
| No.1 Western Extension | 12.1 | General Cargo | 20 | 0.61 |
| TDG European Chemicals | 12.4 | Tanker | 22 | 0.56 |
| RMC Dagenham | 12.7 | Dredger | 22 | 0.58 |
| RMC Dagenham | 12.7 | General Cargo | 20 | 0.63 |
| RMC Dagenham | 12.7 | Specialised Cargo | 24 | 0.53 |
| Docklands Wharf | 14.5 | General Cargo | 20 | 0.73 |
| Docklands Wharf | 14.5 | Specialised Cargo | 24 | 0.60 |
| Kierbeck | 16 | General Cargo | 20 | 0.80 |
| Welbeck | 16.3 | General Cargo | 20 | 0.81 |
| Pinns | 16.4 | General Cargo | 20 | 0.82 |
| Thames Refinery | 19.3 | Bulk Carrier | 26 | 0.74 |
| Thames Refinery | 19.3 | General Cargo | 20 | 0.96 |
| Thames Refinery | 19.3 | Pallet Carrier | 30 | 0.64 |
| Tay Wharf | 19.6 | General Cargo | 20 | 0.98 |
| Riverside Wharf | 20.3 | General Cargo | 20 | 1.01 |
| Murphy's Wharf | 21.8 | Dredger | 22 | 0.99 |
| Murphy's Wharf | 21.8 | General Cargo | 20 | 1.09 |
| Murphy's Wharf | 21.8 | Specialised Cargo | 24 | 0.91 |
| Murphy's Wharf | 21.8 | Tanker | 22 | 0.99 |
| Angersteins | 22.4 | Dredger | 22 | 1.02 |
| Thames Wharf | 22.8 | General Cargo | 20 | 1.14 |
| Brunswick Wharf | 23 | Tanker | 22 | 1.05 |
| Delta | 24.1 | Dredger | 22 | 1.10 |
| Delta | 24.1 | Tug | 22 | 1.10 |
| Victoria Deep | 24.9 | Dredger | 22 | 1.13 |
| Victoria Deep | 24.9 | General Cargo | 20 | 1.25 |
| Victoria Deep | 24.9 | Specialised Cargo | 24 | 1.04 |
| Brewery | 26.6 | General Cargo | 20 | 1.33 |
| RMC Vauxhall | 38.6 | General Cargo | 20 | 1.93 |
| Cringle Wharf | 39.4 | General Cargo | 20 | 1.97 |
| Cringle Wharf | 39.4 | Tug | 22 | 1.79 |
| RMC Fulham | 42.7 | General Cargo | 20 | 2.13 |

Key assumptions used in the analysis of durations of vessels "in port" and "at sea" in the Port of London.

1. Where a vessel arrived and departed on the next day, the time in port (see Table 4) were assumed to be one day or 24 hours. Where arrival and/or departure dates were estimated from the LMIU databases, times "in port" were assumed to be two days or 48 hours.
2. The average speeds of vessel types are averages of the vessels' characteristics. They are not weighted by calls or associated with the calls themselves.

3. Vessels took the shortest straight-line route between ports. Where land mass prohibited this assumption, the vessels took the shortest route around the land towards the destination port.
4. The Average Time "at sea" in Table 5 is considered the time taken to travel to the designated port (in one direction only) after entering the breakwater (entrance at the Port of London at the LAEI boundary).

Undoubtedly, some of these assumptions unfortunately introduce significant uncertainty in this study but they provide an area to be improved on in future estimation of emissions from ships in the LAEI.

Average and rated average vessel (engine) power

Analysing average engine power and energy consumption for vessels is a complicated task because vessels can have various combinations of main and auxiliary engines. Vessels are self sufficient in terms of energy supply, apart from a very few exceptions where power from land sources are used on board in ports. Main engines are used primarily for ship propulsion and are normally shut down in ports; exception is for some tankers, which can use main engines for unloading and loading operations in port. Main engines are almost entirely diesel engines; mostly medium speed 4-stroke or slow 2-stroke. Auxiliary engines are used mainly for electric power generation on board for lighting, ventilation, cranes, pumps etc and they are normally shut down at sea. Rather than size, main and auxiliary engines are normally sub-divided according to their engine speed at the crankshaft as: high speed, medium speed and slow speed. Slow and medium speed engines are more abundant than high-speed engines for main engines; for auxiliary engines, high and medium speed engines dominate.

The LMIU database did not hold data for auxiliary engines on vessels so a decision was taken to use only the average vessel power (kW) of the main engine power (see Table 6) based on the assumption that most of the emissions "at sea" and "in port" come from the main engine, which realistically is not true and introduces significant uncertainty. The greatest contribution to uncertainty arises from the estimation of emissions from vessels whilst undertaking "in port" operations, as the exact engine power and load levels are subject to an unknown degree of variation. Nonetheless, this assumption provides a "rational estimate" within the context and constraints of this study.

Information on the average vessel power of various types of vessels entering the Port of London was obtained from the LMIU and used in calculations.

Table 6. Average vessel power (kW) by vessel type

| Type of Vessel | Average Vessel Power ,kW ² |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Bulk Carrier | 5,464 |

² The averages vessel powers are averages of populated fields, e.g. where the power of a particular vessel was unknown, this vessel was excluded from the average power calculation.

| | |
|-----------------------|-------|
| Pallet Carrier | 7,803 |
| General Cargo | 1,362 |
| Specialised Cargo | 1,982 |
| Tug/Dredger | 2,544 |
| Liquified Gas Carrier | 1,982 |
| Tanker | 3,116 |
| Ro/Ro | 5,411 |

Source: LMIU 2004

The load on the main engine during navigation "at sea" and during "in port" activities vary greatly, depending on the type of vessel. Hence it was imperative to establish the load factor (fraction of main engine power) for both "at sea" and "in port" activities. Load factor is defined as actual power divided by maximum continuous rated (MCR) power, and typically can be between 20% and 80% (Entec UK, 2000). MCR power is the full throttle available to the engine that would result in a full cruise speed.

For the purpose of this study, the load on the main engines during navigation "at sea" and "in port" was assumed to be 80% and 20% of the main engine respectively, irrespective of the vessel type. This assumption has been made as an attempt to obtain a "reasonable approximation" of emissions from ships within the constraints of this study. The assumed load factors and the average vessel power (average power of the main engine) by vessel type were used to calculate the **rated average engine power** (kW) by mode ("at sea" and "in port") and vessel type according to Equation 4.

Equation 4

$$REP_{avg.k.sea,port} = LF_{sea,port} * 0.01 * AVP_{avg.k}$$

Where:

$REP_{avg.k.sea,port}$ = Rated average engine power "at sea"/"in port" for vessel category k, (kW)

$LF_{sea,port}$ = Load factor, fraction of average vessel power "at sea" or "in port", (%)

$AVP_{avg.k}$ = Average vessel power for vessel category k, (kW)

0.01 = Conversion factor

Table 7. Rated average vessel power (kW)

| Type of Ship | "At sea" | "In port" |
|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 80% of average vessel power, kW | 20% of average vessel power, kW |
| Bulk Carrier | 4,371 | 1,093 |
| Pallet Carrier | 6,242 | 1,561 |
| General Cargo | 1,090 | 272 |

| | | |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|
| Specialised Cargo | 1,586 | 396 |
| Tug/Dredger | 2,035 | 509 |
| Liquified Gas Carrier | 1,586 | 396 |
| Tanker | 2,493 | 623 |
| Ro/Ro | 4,329 | 1,082 |

Average Energy Consumption

The average energy consumption (kWh) by each vessel category was calculated as a function of the total time spent (expressed in hours) "at sea" (Equation 5) or "in port" (Equation 6) and the rated average engine power for each vessel category.

Average energy consumption (kWh) "at sea"

| |
|--|
| Equation 5 |
| $EC_{avg.k.sea} = 2 * T_{sea} * REP_{avg.k.sea}$ |

Where:

$EC_{avg.k.sea}$ =Average energy consumption for vessel category k "at sea",
 kWh
 $2 * T_{sea}$ =Total time "at sea" during arrival at and departure from a port,
 h
 $REP_{avg.k.sea}$ =Rated average engine power "at sea" for vessel category k ,
 kW

Average energy consumption (kWh) "in port"

| |
|---|
| Equation 6 |
| $EC_{avg.k.port} = T_{port} * REP_{avg.k.port}$ |

Where:

$EC_{avg.k.port}$ =Average energy consumption for vessel category k "in port",
 kWh
 T_{port} =Total time "in port", h
 $REP_{avg.k.port}$ =Rated average engine power "in port" for vessel category k ,
 kW

Emission factors

The installed engine type on board a vessel and the fuel used largely dictates the ship's emissions. Since the emission factors for individual engines on vessels in this study were not known, a decision was taken to use the emission factors from the Entec UK Ltd 2000 Report. While the Entec UK Ltd 2000 study was geared towards estimating emissions for the European Union, it provides an insightful analysis of the worldwide commercial marine vessel fleet, even including a statistical analysis of variance in emission factors. The Entec UK Ltd 2000 study estimated emission factors for NO_x, HC, CO and PM₁₀ and SO₂ for "at sea" and "in port" activities as shown in Table 8 and Table 9³. The emission factors for main engines "at sea" and "in port" were assumed to be operating at 80% and 20% maximum continuous rating respectively

Entec UK Ltd used the LMIS database for the ships entering the EU study area to derive weighted emission factors for each vessel type for "at sea" and "in port" activities. Assignment of "engine size" emission factors with any great significance was doubtful. Consequently the emission factors were only derived for engine types and valid for all engine sizes.

Table 8. Emission factors for "at sea" operation regarding vessel type, 2004

| Type of Ship | Emission Factors (g/kWh) | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----|
| | NO _x | SO ₂ | CO ₂ | HC |
| Bulk Carrier | 17.9 | 10.6 | 624 | 0.6 |
| Tanker | 16.5 | 11 | 645 | 0.6 |
| Pallet Carrier | 17.5 | 10.7 | 631 | 0.6 |
| General Cargo | 16.3 | 10.9 | 644 | 0.6 |
| Specialised Cargo | 8.5 | 12.4 | 822 | 0.3 |
| Liquified Gas Carrier | 8.5 | 12.4 | 822 | 0.3 |
| Dredge/Tug | 12.5 | 10.7 | 705 | 0.4 |
| Ro-Ro Cargo | 15.6 | 11.2 | 659 | 0.5 |

Source: Entec UK Ltd, 2000

Table 9. Emission factors for "in port" operation regarding vessel type, 2004

| Type of Ship | Emission Factors (g/kWh) | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----|
| | NO _x | SO ₂ | CO ₂ | PM ₁₀ | HC |
| Bulk Carrier | 13.8 | 12 | 706 | 1.5 | 1 |
| Tanker | 13.3 | 12.1 | 710 | 2.2 | 1.5 |
| Pallet Carrier | 13.7 | 12.1 | 710 | 1.5 | 1 |
| General Cargo | 13.3 | 12.1 | 716 | 1.5 | 0.9 |
| Specialised Cargo | 7.5 | 13.4 | 884 | 2.1 | 0.9 |
| Liquified Gas Carrier | 7.5 | 13.4 | 884 | 2.1 | 0.9 |
| Dredge/Tug | 12.7 | 12.4 | 729 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| Ro-Ro Cargo | 13 | 12.3 | 723 | 1.4 | 0.9 |

³ In some cases, the emission factors vary slightly due to the differences in vessel categorisation; where averaged emission factors were applied.

Source: Entec UK Ltd, 2000

The emission factors for CO₂ and SO₂ were derived assuming that all fuel carbon and sulphur present in the fuel are burnt to CO₂ and SO₂ respectively.

Table 10. Estimated uncertainties at the 95% confidence interval

| Pollutant | At sea | In port |
|---------------------------|--------|---------|
| NO _x | ±20% | ±30% |
| SO ₂ | ±10% | ±20% |
| CO ₂ | ±10% | ±20% |
| HC | ±25% | ±40% |
| PM | ±25% | ±40% |
| Specific Fuel Consumption | ±10% | ±20% |

Source: Entec UK Ltd, 2000

Estimated uncertainties at the 95% confidence interval given as relative percent of the emission factors (g/kWh).

As can be noted from Table 10, the "in port" emission factors have an increased uncertainty compared to emission factors "at sea", for two reasons. Firstly, some main engine operation will be from starts with a cold engine, which will give significantly different emissions (especially HC and PM), compared to starts with relatively warm engines. Secondly, because engine loads can change rapidly during "in port" operations, the variability in emissions are increased.

Estimating emissions

As stated previously, the average energy consumption (expressed as kWh) method was used to estimate emissions, as opposed to using the fuel sales methods. The average energy consumption (kWh) by vessel type in each mode was multiplied by the appropriate emission factor (g/kWh), the number of vessel trips to ports and a unit conversion factor to obtain the amount of pollutant (in tonnes) emitted by a vessel type in each mode.

Below are the equations that were used in estimating ship emissions "at sea" and "in port":

"At sea" emission calculation

| |
|---|
| Equation 7 |
| $E_{k,sea} = VC_{port} * EF_{k,sea} * EC_{avg,k,sea} * 10^{-6}$ |

Where:

$E_{k,sea}$ =Emissions from vessel category k "at sea", tonnes

| | |
|------------------|---|
| VC_{port} | =Number of vessel calls at port |
| $EF_{k,sea}$ | =Emission factor for vessel category k "at sea", g/kWh |
| $EC_{avg,k,sea}$ | =Average energy consumption for vessel category k "at sea", kWh |
| 10^{-6} | =Unit conversion factor from grammes to tonne |

"In port" emission calculation

Equation 8

$$E_{k,port} = VC_{port} * EF_{k,port} * EC_{avg,k,port} * 10^{-6}$$

Where:

| | |
|-------------------|---|
| $E_{k,port}$ | =Emissions from vessel category k "at sea", tonnes |
| VC_{port} | =Number of vessel calls at port |
| $EF_{k,port}$ | =Emission factor for vessel category k "at sea", g/kWh |
| $EC_{avg,k,port}$ | =Average energy consumption for vessel category k "at sea", kWh |
| 10^{-6} | =Unit conversion factor from grammes to tonne |

A GIS presentation of the study area and a large spreadsheet were constructed to apportion and spatially represent "at sea" and "in port" emissions for each vessel type. "At sea" emissions were uniformly and linearly represented as channel segments (lines) along the River Thames using the linear referencing functionality in ArcGIS. "In port" emissions were allocated to the appropriate ports as point features. Both the "at sea" and "in port" emissions, represented as channel segments and points respectively, were overlaid with a digital layer of the generic LAEI 1km² grid cells and their corresponding emission values "proportion summed" to the 1km² grid cell that they intersected.

2011 emission projection

In the future, it is expected that there will be changes in the atmospheric emissions from marine vessels operating in the Port of London as a result of legal requirements regarding ship engines and the fuel they use, improved technologies and emission control systems. The European Union intends to propose legislation aimed to reduce marine emissions, especially legislation capping fuel sulphur content⁴ and restriction of fuel type use. Consequently, a high degree of uncertainty will be introduced in any future projections (specifically, 2011 projections) of atmospheric emissions from marine vessels operating in the Port of London.

⁴ In 1997, the European Commission made a proposal to amend Directive 93/12/EEC to include a limit of 1% for the sulphur content of fuel oils.

Though a steady course of investment and expansion is set to create a number of high-profile new facilities⁵, which will confirm London's status as the major gateway to UK market, most of these expansions lie outside the LAEI area. Quantitative estimates of future emissions have been based on a review of literature on ship transport and emissions. Annual growth in number of vessel calls to the Port of London (that lies within the LAEI area) for future years was estimated from the "Port of London Handbook 2004" and "PLA Annual Review 2003", which was assumed at 1% growth per annum in number of vessel calls for the period 2003 – 2010. To project 2011 and 2015 emissions, the estimated emission factors for future scenarios (i.e., 2008) for "at sea" and "in port" activities (shown in Table 11 and Table 12) were derived from the Entec UK Ltd Report. Entec's estimated emission factors for 2008 years have been used to project 2011 and 2015 emissions in this study.

Table 11. Emission factors for "at sea" operation regarding vessel type, 2011 and 2015

| Type of Ship | Emission Factors (g/kWh) | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----|
| | NO _x | SO ₂ | CO ₂ | HC |
| Bulk Carrier | 13.4 | 5.2 | 688 | 1.0 |
| Tanker | 13.1 | 10.2 | 706 | 1.5 |
| Pallet Carrier | 13.2 | 5.2 | 691 | 1.0 |
| General Cargo | 12.8 | 5.2 | 698 | 0.9 |
| Specialised Cargo | 7.4 | 12.1 | 881 | 0.9 |
| Liquified Gas Carrier | 7.4 | 12.1 | 881 | 0.9 |
| Dredge/Tug | 12.2 | 4.4 | 707 | 1.0 |
| Ro-Ro Cargo | 12.6 | 5.1 | 7.3 | 0.9 |

Table 12. Emission factors for "in port" operation regarding vessel type, 2010 and 2015

| Type of Ship | Emission Factors (g/kWh) | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----|
| | NO _x | SO ₂ | CO ₂ | PM ₁₀ | HC |
| Bulk Carrier | 13.4 | 3.0 | 688 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| Tanker | 13.1 | 5.7 | 706 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| Pallet Carrier | 13.2 | 3.0 | 691 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| General Cargo | 12.8 | 3.0 | 698 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Specialised Cargo | 7.4 | 6.8 | 881 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| Liquified Gas Carrier | 7.4 | 6.8 | 881 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| Dredge/Tug | 10.9 | 4.5 | 747 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| Ro-Ro Cargo | 12.6 | 2.9 | 703 | 0.8 | 0.9 |

Source: Entec UK Ltd, 2000

References

Entec UK Ltd (2000) Quantification of Emissions from Ships Associated with Ship Movements between Ports in the European Community. Prepared for the European Commission. Final report, July 2003.

⁵ P&O is planning to invest £650 million in its "London Gateway" port development at Shell Haven, in a project that will significantly boost volumes through the Port of London in 2007. Shell Haven is outside the LAEI area.

Lloyds Register (1995) Marine Exhaust Emissions Research Programme. Lloyds Register Engineering services, London, UK.

PLA (2004) Port of London Handbook 2004, PLA 2004, UK.

PLA (2004) Annual Review 2003, PLA 2003, UK.

Trozzi C and R Vaccaro (1998) Methodology for estimating air pollutants emissions from ships. Deliverable 19 of the MEET project. Technne report MEET RF98.